



BERRICK SALOME PARISH COUNCIL
Berrick Salome - Berrick Prior - Roke - Rokemarsh

BERRICK SALOME PARISH EXTRAORDINARY GENERAL MEETING MINUTES

The meeting of all members of the Parish Council was held on **Thursday 19th March @ 7:30pm** in the Berrick and Roke Village Hall.

Present

Rob Workman [RW]
Jim Whitworth [JW]
Craig Tribe [CT]
Ian Kirkwood [IK]
Angie Barker [ALB]

Chair
Councillor
Responsible Financial Officer
Councillor
Parish Clerk

1) Apologies for absence
David Turner

2) Absent
Andy Batt

3) Declarations of interest regarding items on the agenda
None

4) Planning Decisions and Applications:

a) To consider the following planning applications:

[P26/S0283/O](#)

Rokemarsh Farmhouse, Rokemarsh - IK

Demolition of existing agricultural buildings and dwelling, construction of a single detached house and garage.

[P26/S0282/FUL](#)

Rokemarsh Farm, Rokemarsh - IK

The construction of a single agricultural barn.

[P26/S0281/O](#)

Rokemarsh Farm, Rokemarsh - IK

The construction of two detached houses and garages.

A number of parishioners gave their view on the above applications. The consensus seemed to be that one house would be acceptable, provided the mass of house doesn't go over other buildings.

IK gave an overview of the applications:

- There were no immediate concerns with the barn or the single house provided both included a full demolition of existing buildings.
- There was no support for the application for two additional houses with concerns over the use of the unadopted lane for access and over development of the open countryside.





BERRICK SALOME PARISH COUNCIL
Berrick Salome - Berrick Prior - Roke - Rokemarsh

- Shared maintenance of the lane should be included within the PC's response to the council - **IK**

RW asked if there were any further comments.

The council agreed to support the application for the one house and the barn and to object to the application for the two houses.

[P26/S0453/HH](#)

The Other Place, Roke - AB

Proposed single storey rear extension

The applicant was present at the meeting and commented that:

- They were looking for more space.
- The proposed extension was situated between the current building and the fence along the neighbouring boundary.
- The design was intended to be as less intrusive as possible.

Concerns expressed by parishioners included:

- Possible damage to a beech hedge in the neighbouring property.
- Additional noise.
- Reflections from the roof as it will be made of the same material as the existing building, which had caused the neighbours problems.
- Loss of privacy.

Points raised in the discussion amongst councillors:

- Concern over the distance from the extension to the neighbouring boundary.
- The glare from the roof.
- Privacy accorded by the position and size of the windows which face the neighbouring properties.
- Relevant planning policies SOLP H20 and DES6 and BSPNP BER2.

It was agreed by the Council to object to the application on the basis of design and materials. **RW** will put together an objection response.

It was noted that the applicant was unhappy that **AB** was not present as he was the councillor chosen to collate responses on this application.

4) To consider any other matters the Chair deems urgent - for information only.

CT

- Orchard Planning result was due next week.
- New bank switch shall take place on 13th April. Use Barclays until then.

